



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/704,535 | 11/03/2000 | Rudy Bonefas | 35825-164588 | 5575 |

7590 07/02/2010
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC
ATTEN: WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN
2000 M STREET, N.W.
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20016

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2446

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

07/02/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/704,535 | Applicant(s) BONEFAS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner BENJAMIN R. BRUCKART | Art Unit 2446 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 24-33 and 56-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 24-33 and 56-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2446

Detailed Action

Status of Claims:

Claims 24-33, 56-61 are pending in this Office Action.

Claims 1-23, 34-55, and 62-68 are cancelled.

Claims 24-27, 29-30, and 56-61 are amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the amendment filed 2/26/10, have been fully considered but they moot in view new grounds of rejection. The reasons are set forth below.

Applicant's invention as claimed:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2446

Claim 24 recites the limitation "substantially to" a transport layer. This is relative phrase and there is a question about the limits of substantially performed actions versus the performed actions. Please clarify.

Claim 58 recites the limitation "said physical messaging network server types." There is a lack of antecedent basis for this claim language.

Claims 24, 27-33; 56-59, 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable under U.S. Patent No. 6,161,123 by Renouard et al. (Previously Cited) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,101,545 by Balcerowski et al.

Regarding claim 24, the Renouard reference teaches a method for supporting a communications network (Renouard: Fig. 3), comprising:

communication between a physical messaging network server and a wireless device (Renouard: Fig. 3; col. 6, lines 61- col. 7, line 5) utilizing a modified User Datagram Protocol (UDP) transport protocol comprised of a transport layer corresponding substantially to a transport layer of an Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) model (Renouard: col. 4, lines 23-43) using a peer wireless protocol layer (Renouard: Fig. 3-4; col. 6, lines 61- col. 7, line 5).

The Renouard reference fails to teach message duplication detection.

However, in analogous art, the Balcerowski reference teaches:

providing message duplication detection (Balcerowski: col. 3, line 1; col. 7, lines 47-50);

acknowledging said message duplication (Balcerowski: col. 7, lines 47-50);

discarding said duplicate message, in response to said detection of said duplicate message with said transport layer of said modified UDP connectionless transport protocol (Balcerowski: col. 7, lines 47-50) in order to allow resending expired or lost messages without acknowledgements of about sent messages (Balcerowski: col. 7, lines 39-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the invention of Renouard to include discarding duplicate messages as taught by Balcerowski in order to allow resending expired or lost messages without acknowledgements of about sent messages (Balcerowski: col. 7, lines 39-50).

Art Unit: 2446

Referring to claim 27, the method of claim 24, further comprising:

generating a standard packet for communication between said physical messaging network server and said wireless device during encapsulation (Renouard: col. 11, lines 1-26).

Referring to claim 28, the method of claim 27, wherein the standard packet includes at least one of: a header length; protocol flags; packet length; database ID; link station ID; message ID; customer ID; port number; network header, and; message body (Renouard: Fig. 10a and 10b, col. 11, lines 1-26).

Regarding claim 29, the method of claim 27, further comprising: a network header comprising at least one of: a compression indicator; a security indicator; a service type indicator; a message type indicator; and a server ID (Renouard: Fig. 10a and 10b, col. 11, lines 1-26).

Regarding claim 30, the method of claim 24, further comprising:

encapsulating a transport header (Renouard: Fig. 10A, tag 1004 within 1002);
notifying a sending device of a success or failure of a transmission (Renouard: col. 6, lines 10-21);
segmenting messages over a pre-determined length into message segments (Renouard: Fig. 10a and 10b, col. 11, lines 1-26);
assembling the messages segments into messages (Renouard: Fig. 10a and 10b, col. 11, lines 1-26);
resending messages that are not acknowledged within a pre-determined time (Renouard: col. 6, lines 19-25);
pacing a transmission of messages larger than a pre-determined number of segments (Renouard: col. 7, lines 61- col. 8, line 17);
detecting duplicate message segments (Balcerowski: col. 7, lines 47-50)); and
detecting duplicate messages (Balcerowski: col. 7, lines 47-50)).

Regarding claim 31, the method of claim 24, further comprising:

generating acknowledgement messages (Renouard: col. 6, lines 10-21);

Art Unit: 2446

processing the acknowledgement messages (Renouard: col. 6, lines 10-21);

By this rationale, “Official Notice” is taken that both the concepts and advantages of “compressing/decompressing and encrypting/decrypting messages” is well known and expected in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of modified Renouard to include compressing and decompressing as well as encrypting and decrypting messages in order to lower overhead and additional security when transmitting data.

Regarding claim 32, the method of claim 30, further comprising: encapsulating a communication layer (Renouard: Fig. 10a and 10b, col. 11, lines 1-26).

Regarding claim 33, the method of claim 31, further comprising:

processing application specific messages; providing special compression services; and providing special security services.

Regarding claim 56, the method of claim 24, further comprising:

searching a database based on a server type to identify said physical messaging network server, said physical messaging network server being of an intelligent messaging network server type that another physical messaging network server desires to connect with.

Regarding claim 57, the method of claim 56, further comprising

facilitating a handshake procedure to determine a validity of a connection between said physical messaging network server and said client device (Renouard: Fig. 4 and 7).

Regarding claim 58, the method of claim 24, wherein: said physical messaging network server types are associated with functions performed by said a plurality of physical messaging network servers (Renouard: col. 11, lines 11- col. 12, line 23).

Regarding claim 59, the method of claim 24, wherein the physical messaging network server types comprise:

Art Unit: 2446

at least one of a protocol gateway server, message router server, and back-end server (Fig. 3, back end; col. 6, lines 61-65).

Regarding claim 61, the method of claim 24, further comprising:

encapsulating a network access protocol used to transmit data between said client device to said physical messaging network server, said network access protocol being transparent to said physical messaging network server receiving said data from said client device (Renouard: Fig. 10a and 10b, col. 11, lines 1-26; udp+ encapsulated in the IP header).

Claims 25-26, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable under U.S. Patent No. 6,161,123 by Renouard et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,101,545 by Balcerowski et al in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,026,430 by Butman et al.

Regarding claim 25, the modified Renouard reference teaches the method of claim 24.

The Renouard reference fails to teach registration.

However, in analogous art, the Butman reference teaches:

specifying a server class for said physical messaging network server during a registration of said physical messaging network server (Butman: col. 18, lines 54- col. 19, line 1) in order to disseminate information between diverse types and networks and facilitate standardization (Butman: col. 8, lines 26-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create the invention of Renouard to include the registration steps of Butman in order to disseminate information between diverse types and networks and facilitate standardization (Butman: col. 8, lines 26-49).

Referring to claim 26, the method of claim 25, further comprising: specifying at least one of a packet header, an IP address, and a listener port during said registration (Butman: col. 28, lines 65- col. 29, line 15).

Regarding claim 60, the method of claim 25, wherein: said physical messaging network server class is associated with at least one of a network access protocol for a communications network

Art Unit: 2446

connecting said client device and said physical messaging network server (Butman: col. 28, lines 65- col. 29, line 15).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin R. Bruckart whose telephone number is (571) 272-3982. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin R Bruckart
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2446

/Benjamin R Bruckart/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2446